Classification of glacier zones in western Greenland using albedo and surface roughness from the Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR)
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Abstract

The Greenland ice sheet has been the subject of mass balance and melt measurements over the past two decades and its margins have shown significant thinning in recent years. Surface characteristics of the ice sheet margins are strongly modified by the annual process of snow accumulation and melt. In this work, we explore spatial and temporal relationships between near-infrared albedo and surface roughness from the Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR) aboard NASA’s Terra satellite. Our study area is a region in western Greenland in the vicinity of Jakobshavn Glacier and its upland drainage basin. We compute near-infrared albedo from MISR data using imagery over the April to September period for 2000 through 2005. Near-infrared albedo is inversely related to both snow age and melt intensity. We map surface roughness using the Normalized Difference Angular Index (NDAI) applied to MISR atmospherically corrected surface hemispherical-directional reflectance. In previous work, the NDAI has been correlated with surface roughness on the scale of about 70 m. We have further substantiated that relationship here. The NDAI and albedo images for each of the six years are used in an ISODATA unsupervised classification. Classification results for the individual years show year-to-year differences, which appear to depend on the number and temporal distribution of images with minimal cloud cover as well as interannual differences in ice sheet surface properties. The year 2003, which had the greatest number of images with minimal cloud cover, shows good correspondence with previously mapped glacier zones. However, we do not have an ISODATA class that corresponds to the dry-snow zone and we see greater differentiation between zones at lower elevations. Within each ISODATA class, distinct relationships between near-infrared albedo and surface roughness emerge and in all cases but one there is an inverse temporal relationship between albedo and roughness. The one class that shows a direct relationship between albedo and surface roughness is hypothesized to be the superimposed-ice zone. While still somewhat preliminary, these results suggest that concurrent measurements of near-infrared albedo and surface roughness have significant potential for ice sheet surface characterization as well as for ongoing monitoring.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Changes on the Greenland ice sheet

Ice and snow are showing a dramatic response to global warming and continued changes in polar ice have the potential to profoundly affect the Earth’s climate even further (Abdalati & Steffen, 2001; Comiso, 2002; IPCC, 2001; Serreze et al., 2000; Vaughn & Doake, 1996). Feedbacks between albedo and temperature make it critical that we understand the extent and magnitude of albedo variations on polar ice sheets and the effects that melting and ablation have on surface energy balance (Knap & Oerlemans, 1996; Nolin & Stroeve, 1997; Steffen et al., 1993; Stroeve et al., 1997). On the Greenland ice sheet, results from Abdalati and Steffen (2001) indicate a linear increase in melt area of about 0.7% per year over the period from 1979–1999.

Although the high elevation interior of the Greenland ice sheet has been gaining mass over the 1992–2002 period (Zwally et al., 2005), the ice sheet margins have shown rapid thinning in the lower reaches of many outlet glaciers (Krabill et al., 1999, 2000;
In this investigation, the spectral bi-hemispherical reflectance integrated over the hemispherical in nature (direct+diffuse irradiance) while the hemispherical-directional reflectance because the illumination at atmospherically corrected measurement of reflectance is termed field of view and is also considered directional. At the surface, an beam solar radiation and the measurement is made with a narrow (e.g. Ambach, 1963; Braithwaite & Olesen, 1993). The degree during summer comes from the absorption of solar radiation band albedo from near-infrared channel of the MISR instrument.

1.2. Albedo

Following definitions provided in Hapke (1993), a radiation incident on a surface that has both direct solar component and diffuse skylight components is termed “hemispherical irradiance”. When the diffusely reflected radiation is measured the full upward hemisphere, this quantity is termed the bi-hemispherical albedo because both the illumination and measurement are hemispherical in nature. In contrast, reflectance measured at the top of the atmosphere by a satellite is termed the “bi-directional reflectance” because the illumination is composed of only direct beam solar radiation and the measurement is made with a narrow field of view and is also considered directional. At the surface, an atmospherically corrected measurement of reflectance is termed the hemispherical-directional reflectance because the illumination is hemispherical in nature (direct+diffuse irradiance) while the viewing geometry is again directional. “Narrowband albedo” is the spectral bi-hemispherical reflectance integrated over the spectral range of a single channel of a remote sensing instrument. In this investigation, “near-infrared albedo” refers to the narrow-band albedo from near-infrared channel of the MISR instrument.

The dominant source of energy for ablation of ice sheets during summer comes from the absorption of solar radiation (e.g. Ambach, 1963; Braithwaite & Olesen, 1993). The degree of thermal forcing is controlled by ice sheet surface albedo, which is the ratio of reflected to incident solar radiation. Optical remote sensing measures reflected solar radiation and, unlike derived parameters from other types of remote sensing, provides a direct means for mapping glacier albedo (Knap & Örlemans, 1996; Knap et al., 1999; Greuell & Knap, 2000; Greuell & de Ruyter de Wildt, 1999). Monitoring trends in ice sheet surface properties, especially surface albedo, is crucial to predicting rates of ice sheet melting and potential changes in the flow dynamics of outlet glaciers.

1.3. Surface roughness

Here, we define surface roughness ($\xi$) as the root mean squared deviation of the surface from a best fitting plane (Eq. (1)).

$$\xi = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (z_i - \bar{z})^2}$$

where, the $z_i$ are the heights above the surface and $\bar{z}$ is the average elevation of the surface.

Ice sheets exhibit a variety of surface roughness patterns, which can provide detailed information about the climatic and dynamic processes acting on the ice. Rignot and Kanagaratnam (2006) have shown that not only are multiple glaciers in Greenland showing large increases in velocity and transport of ice to the ocean, but that this trend is occurring further north and is involving a greater number of glaciers than just a decade ago. Recent studies show the importance of ice dynamics to ice sheet mass balance and may be linked to changes in surface energy balance.

1.4. Research objectives

Albedo in the near-infrared portion of the spectrum (0.7–2.5 μm) is sensitive to diagenetic changes in surface snow properties, primarily snow grain size such as that induced by warming and surface melt (Nolin & Dozier, 1993; Warren, 1982). Moreover, the work of Nolin et al. (2002) points to the potential for, and innovative use of, multi-angle data for mapping surface roughness at subpixel resolution. The combination of near-infrared albedo and surface roughness has strong potential for improving our abilities to characterize ice sheet surface properties and their seasonal variability. The specific objectives of this study were to a) map and classify seasonal variations in NIR albedo and surface roughness over a range of ice sheet glacier zones; and b) examine the classification results in the context of previously mapped distributions of glacier zones.

2. Background and previous work

2.1. Greenland ice sheet mass balance and glacier zones

The term mass balance describes the relationship between accumulation and ablation of glacier ice. Accumulation occurs in the form of snow and ablation occurs through a combination of melting (both surface and basal melt), evaporation and sublimation, and iceberg calving. A negative mass balance means that a glacier is decreasing in total mass. For ice sheets such as Greenland, it is important to determine changes in mass
balance since a negative mass balance of a very large ice sheet will have major implications for sea level rise, freshwater fluxes into the ocean, and climate feedbacks.

With elevations ranging up to 3200 m, the Greenland ice sheet spans a wide range of snow and ice zones, which were measured and described by Benson (1962). Here, we follow the nomenclature of Paterson (1994), which is essentially the same as Benson with the exception of using the term “zone” rather than “facies”. The dry-snow zone (Fig. 1), where there is no surface melt, is located at the highest elevations of the ice sheet. The percolation zone, where some melt occurs but meltwater percolates into the snowpack and refreezes, is next below the dry-snow zone in elevation. It is not easily distinguished from the wet-snow zone, where the complete annual accumulation of snow may experience meltwater percolation and refreezing. However, at the lower elevations of the wet-snow zone numerous melt ponds form and there are large areas of slush. Lower still is the superimposed-ice zone, where meltwater has refrozen onto the colder glacier ice surface. Because superimposed ice is created by melting and refreezing of the current year’s snow, this zone is still considered part of the ice sheet accumulation area. The lowest elevation zone is the bare-ice zone, which represents the ablation region. In this region, each year’s accumulation of snow and ice is fully melted and ice from previous years can be removed. The equilibrium line is defined as the boundary between the regions of net accumulation and net ablation, i.e. the lower boundary of the superimposed ice zone. The snow (or firm) line is the lowermost elevation where, at the end of the ablation season, snow (or firm) remains on the glacier surface, i.e. the upper boundary of the superimposed ice zone. Firn is densified snow that survives at least one melt season.

Early maps of Greenland ice sheet accumulation and ablation zones are based on limited in situ measurements (Bader, 1961; Benson, 1962; Mock, 1967). Optical remote sensing offers the ability to examine surface properties related to accumulation and ablation and to use the seasonal variability of surface properties to hone the delineations between glacier zones.

Satellite remote sensing to delineate glacier zones was first proposed by Østrem (1975) and has since been accomplished with mixed success using optical sensors (Bindschadler et al., 2001; Greuell & Knap, 2000; Hall et al., 1987; Williams et al., 1991), passive microwave sensors (Abdalati & Steffen, 2001; Mote & Anderson, 1995) and synthetic aperture radar (Echelmeyer et al., 1992; Fahnestock et al., 1993; Long & Drinkwater, 1994; Hall et al., 1995; Partington, 1998; Rott & Matzler, 1987). Optical sensors, which measure reflected light in the visible and near-infrared wavelengths, map surface variability on the ice sheets. In these wavelengths, it is possible to detect the snow line (as shown on Fig. 1), slush line (defined in Greuell & Knap, 2000 as the boundary that separates slush from snow that is wet but not completely saturated), and to a limited extent, the dry-snow zone and ablation area (König et al., 2001). To be reliable, such measurements must be made at the end of the ablation season. However, cloud cover can inhibit such measurements. Hall et al. (1987) use the term “reflectance zones” to describe the delineations that optical sensors can detect on glaciers. In that same vein, “radar zones” has also been suggested by Forster et al. (1996) to describe zonal variations on glaciers that can be detected by radar instruments. Active microwave (radar) pulses penetrate dry snow and backscatter is sensitive to surface and subsurface (meter-scale) ice sheet properties. For snow with high liquid water content the surface contribution dominates backscatter intensity. L- and C-band radar can detect the glacier ice zone, the firm line, the percolation zone, the wet-snow zone, and the dry-snow zone. Long and Drinkwater (1994) used multiple images from the Seasat-A...
Scatterometer over a single summer season to map glacier zones on the Greenland ice sheet and were successful at delineating the dry-snow, percolation, wet-snow and bare-ice zones. Passive microwave instruments can only detect broad changes; primarily the differences between regions of surface melt and dry snow. Several research groups have attempted to map the superimposed-ice zone from satellite but with limited success. König et al. (2002) found that SAR showed some potential for mapping superimposed ice on a glacier in Svalbard. They attributed differences in radar backscatter to varying air bubble content and surface roughness. While the position of this ice facies is correct in relation to snow and exposed glacier ice, the conclusion was preliminary and did not lead to an automated means of detecting superimposed ice from remote sensing data. In one instance, Marshall et al. (1995) were able to distinguish between superimposed ice and bare glacier ice on the basis of surface roughness differences detectable in synthetic aperture radar (SAR) data. Furthermore, Engeset et al. (2002) had mixed success using SAR to map superimposed ice on two glaciers in Svalbard. They attributed differences in radar backscatter to varying air bubble content and surface roughness.

### 2.2. Snow grain size, surface energy balance and albedo

It is well established that the albedo of clean snow is controlled primarily by the grain radius of the surface layer of snow and that the relationship between albedo and grain size is especially sensitive in the near-infrared wavelengths (Choudhury, 1981; Dozier et al., 1981; Hyvärinen & Lammasniemi, 1987; Nolin & Dozier, 1993, 2000; Wiscombe & Warren, 1980; Warren, 1982; Warren & Wiscombe, 1980). Grain growth in dry snow occurs with snowpack aging (Colbeck, 1982, 1983) and proceeds rapidly as grain clusters form in wet snow (Colbeck, 1979, 1982). In their study of the Greenland ice sheet, Nolin and Strooeve (1997) used an energy balance model to show how changes in snowpack energy balance modify the surface grain size and thereby affect albedo. They were able to accurately relate the increase in grain size with positive snowpack energy balance and could detect the onset of melt by the decrease in albedo that corresponded with rapid grain growth in wet snow. Fig. 2 shows the modeled spectral albedo for snow of differing grain radii, representing the transition from new snow (50 μm grain radius) to aging and melting snow (200 and 500 μm grain radii) to saturated snow with grain clusters (1000 μm grain radii).

#### 2.3. Multi-angle remote sensing of ice sheet albedo and surface roughness

Multi-angle views can provide unique information about the ice sheet surface, at scales below that of the nominal spatial resolution, that can be used to improve our characterization of climate and ice dynamics processes. The Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR) instrument on the Terra satellite platform provides near-concurrent multiple views of a surface at several viewing geometries, with a spatial resolution of 275 m (Diner et al., 2002; Table 1). It has excellent radiometric resolution, so variations in surface roughness or reflectance may be quantified precisely.

It has also been shown that MISR data can be used as a proxy for surface roughness. Nolin et al., 2002 developed a normalized difference angular index (NDAI) using a combination of forward and backward scattered radiation.

\[
\text{NDAI} = \frac{\rho_{-60} - \rho_{+60}}{\rho_{-60} + \rho_{+60}}
\]

where, \(\rho_{-60}\) and, \(\rho_{+60}\) are the bi-directional reflectance (for top-of-atmosphere) or hemispherical-directional reflectance (for surface quantities) in the MISR red channel, from MISR’s 60° aft and forward viewing cameras, respectively. For a descending orbit in the Arctic, the forward viewing camera is seeing forward scattering and the aft camera is seeing backward scattering because the sun is to the south. Forward scattering is associated with generally smooth surfaces while backward scattering dominates when a surface is rough. Thus, a positive (negative) NDAI value indicates that backward (forward) scattering exceeds forward (backward) scattering and that the surface is rough (smooth). The NDAI was shown to be correlated with surface roughness derived from an airborne laser altimeter (Nolin et al., 2002). However, in that initial comparison, the lidar and MISR data were from different years. Following the definition of surface roughness

| Camera angles | ±70.5°,±60.0°,±45.6°,±26.1°, 0° |
| Spectral bands | 448 nm (blue), 558 nm (green) |
| Pixel size | 275 × 275 m (all bands in nadir camera and red bands in all other cameras) |
| Swath width | 380 km |
| Quantization | 14 bits, square-root encoded to 12 bits |
in Eq. (1), hereafter we refer to NDAI roughness as NDAI and lidar roughness as $\xi_{\text{lidar}}$.

3. Methodology

Our study area is the Jakobshavn Glacier and its upland drainage basin (Fig. 3), spanning the full range of ice sheet zones. We compute NDAI and near-infrared narrowband albedo (hereafter referred to as “NIR albedo”) for the area and examine the temporal patterns of these two quantities over the course of six summers (2000–2005). We use the NDAI and NIR albedo images as input to an unsupervised classification. These are described in greater detail below.

3.1. Description of the study area

The Jakobshavn Glacier in west Greenland (Fig. 3) is one of the fastest flowing glaciers in the world, with 12 km per year movement (Joughin et al., 2004). Elevations in the study area range up to 2800 m and the area comprises potentially all of the Benson glacier zones including the bare-ice zone, superimposed-ice zone, wet-snow zone, and percolation zone (and possibly the lower portion of the dry-snow zone). In the nomenclature used for ordering MISR data, the study area consists of MISR Paths 9–10, Blocks 32–35.

3.2. Computing near-infrared albedo from MISR

To compute NIR albedo, we started with MISR Level 1B2T terrain-projected top-of-atmosphere scaled radiance data. Image dates and orbit numbers are listed in Table 2 and span six summers (2000–2005). Images were examined individually to ensure that only those with minimal cloud cover (<20%) were used in the analysis. Following the method of Nolin and Stroeve (1997), each image was georeferenced, converted from top-of-atmosphere scaled radiance to top-of-atmosphere reflectance, atmospherically corrected using the 6S atmospheric radiative transfer model (Vermote et al., 1997), and converted to NIR albedo.

3.3. Computing NDAI from MISR

As before, we started with MISR Level 1B2T terrain-projected top-of-atmosphere scaled radiance data. Each image was georeferenced, converted from top-of-atmosphere scaled radiance to top-of-atmosphere reflectance, and atmospherically corrected. Here, we used the surface hemispherical–directional reflectance data to compute NDAI (Eq. (2)). Unlike NIR albedo, the red channel values used to compute NDAI retain their viewing angle dependencies. Low values (negative) of NDAI correspond to smoother surface conditions and higher (positive) values correspond to rougher surface conditions. To further test the assumption that NDAI is a good proxy for surface roughness,
we compared these data with near-concurrent airborne lidar data using multiple transects over the Jakobshavn Glacier area. For this comparison (described in Section 4.1, below), we used the same methodology as described in Nolin et al. (2002).

3.4. ISODATA classification

The basis for the classification is the temporal changes in NIR albedo and NDAI over the course of the sunlit season. Images for each summer were arranged in temporal order and this multi-temporal sequence was then classified using an iterative self-organizing data analysis (ISODATA) unsupervised classification method (Duda & Hart, 1973). The ISODATA method is a well-known clustering technique that assigns pixels to a class based on class means. The number of classes is specified over a range and the classification determines the final number. Multiple iterations refine the class means and class boundaries as the algorithm minimizes the within-class variance and maximizes the between-class distance. Here, the maximum within-class difference was specified to be 1.0 standard deviation and the minimum distance between classes was specified to be 5.0 standard deviations. The minimum and maximum number of specified classes was 6 and

Fig. 4. Comparison of normalized NDAI and $\xi_{\text{lidar}}$ for three transects. The arrow indicates the direction of travel of the airborne lidar. Panels (a) and (b) show very close agreement between the two measures of roughness, across the main portion of Jakobshavn Glacier. Panel (c) shows weak statistical correlation for a relatively smooth part of the ice sheet.
20 respectively. The classifier was run for 20 iterations with a class change threshold of 3%.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Comparison of NDAI and $\xi_{\text{lidar}}$

Pre-computed airborne lidar-derived surface roughness data from May 30, 2002 (supplied by W. Krabill of the NASA/Wallops Flight Facility) were compared with NDAI values from May 31, 2002. Lidar tracks in which the corresponding MISR data showed clouds were eliminated. The lidar derives surface altitude by measuring the time of travel between aircraft and surface. The lidar surface roughness values were computed (following Eq. (1)) over a 70-m portion of the lidar transect.

Fig. 4 shows the comparisons for three tracks in the Jakobshavn Glacier area. For each track, both NDAI and $\xi_{\text{lidar}}$ have been normalized by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation (as in Nolin et al., 2002). Thus, these comparisons are based on relative variations in roughness rather than absolute

---

Fig. 5. ISODATA classification results for 2000–2005. The red circle shown on the results for 2000 indicates the fast-moving portion of the glacier.
roughness. The middle panel shows a north–south track that passed over the main portion of the glacier. The Pearson’s correlation coefficient, $r$, is 0.88. The top panel also shows a strong correlation between NDAI and $\xi_{\text{lidar}}$ ($r=0.89$) as it traverses the glacier from east to west. Although the two sets of normalized roughness values are not comparable in terms of absolute magnitude, the good correlations show that they get rough in the same portions of the transects. The bottom panel, from a track crossing a relatively smooth portion of the ice sheet located east of the previous tracks shows only a weak correlation. However, visual comparison shows congruence in certain portions of the track suggesting that NDAI still contains useful information. All three panels show that the agreement between NDAI and $\xi_{\text{lidar}}$ is lower for smoother portions of the ice sheet. In these areas of the transect, NDAI demonstrates a higher degree of roughness and overall variability relative to its mean value than do the lidar data. This may result from a difference in the spatial scale of pre-processed $\xi_{\text{lidar}}$ data (70-m) and the MISR spatial resolution (275-m). While the original lidar data accurately record elevation at 1-m intervals, the processing to derive lidar roughness is computed over 70-m fitted surfaces. Therefore, undulations that exceed 70 m will not be detected with lidar roughness data processed at this scale. Close visual examination of enlarged versions of the near-infrared albedo images (not shown) shows surface shading differences suggesting topographic variability at a scale that exceeds the 70-m lidar processing.

4.2. Analysis of classification results

Results of the ISODATA classification using annual time series of NIR albedo and NDAI reveal coherent spatial patterns that vary with elevation and position relative to the fast-moving portion of Jakobshavn Glacier. For each year, the clustering algorithm computed six classes of ice sheet surface types. Fig. 5 shows the classification results for all six years. There are year-

---

**Fig. 6.** Time series plots of NIR albedo (solid lines) and NDAI (dashed lines) from 2003 for areas that are representative of each class. Locations of the representative areas are shown on Fig. 7.
to-year differences in the geographic boundaries between the classes that can be explained by differences in a) the number of images with acceptably low (<20%) cloud amounts available in each year; b) the temporal distribution of the images within each year; and c) ice sheet surface properties. Table 2 gives the dates for each image. In 2000, there were only four relatively clear images, but their dates ranged from early April through late August. In 2001, there were seven acceptable images used but they only extended into mid-July. Although 2002 had the same number of images as 2001, acquisition dates ranged from April through September. The summer 2003 had by far the most images with 11 acceptable images whose dates ranged from early May to mid-September. 2004 was quite limited in range with acceptable images available only into late June. In 2005, we used eight images that ranged from April to early September. Given the temporal distributions of imagery, it is difficult to distinguish between classification differences due to data availability and those due to true interannual variability of ice sheet surface properties. Therefore, we focus our interpretation of results on 2003, which had a large number of acceptable images spanning the full melt season.

4.3. NIR albedo and NDAI differences between classes for 2003

Using data from 2003, a representative region was selected from each class and used to show the temporal changes in NIR albedo and NDAI (Fig. 6). Because of our a priori specification of within-class and between-class standard deviations (Section 3.3),
we know that the between-class differences are five times greater than the within-class variability, assuring class uniformity and significant differences between classes. Each of the representative areas was selected by choosing a 10 \times 10-pixel (7.56 \text{ km}^2) square that was cloud-free for all dates of the time series and that was at least 20 pixels away from another class.

Class 1 has relatively low variability in both NIR albedo and NDAI. NIR albedo reaches a minimum of 0.83 in August followed by a late summer increase that was likely the result of new snowfall. Surface roughness in Class 1 as inferred from NDAI is consistently negative implying a smooth surface; there is only a small increase in NDAI in July and August. In Class 1, NDAI has a weakly inverse relationship with NIR albedo. The small decrease in albedo is consistent with a small amount of melt and the corresponding grain growth (Nolin & Stroeve, 1997).

Class 2 shows a more significant decrease in albedo during the summer, with a minimum albedo value of 0.66. It is unlikely to find an albedo value this low unless extensive melt is occurring (Nolin & Stroeve, 1997); sub-resolution melt ponds may also have formed. There is an inverse relationship between albedo and surface roughness; in summer roughness increases slightly more strongly than for Class 1.

Class 3 has a minimum albedo of 0.43 and has a large increase in NDAI in July–August. The area comprising Class 3 has numerous melt ponds (as seen in July and August images, not shown), which are likely responsible for the low albedo values in those months.

Class 4 has an albedo decrease similar to that of Class 3 (minimum of 0.45) but, unlike all the other classes, it shows a decrease in surface roughness in early summer. This will be discussed further in the next section. However, like Class 3, Class 4 also has numerous melt ponds in July–August (not shown).

Class 5 has still lower minimum albedo (0.39) with large decreases early in the melt season. NDAI shows a strong early summer increase going from slightly negative to strongly positive values indicating a high degree of surface roughness.

Class 6 represents the portion of study area with extensive crevasses. This class has a consistently low NIR albedo (minimum of 0.12) and high NDAI throughout the summer. Lastly, there is the portion of the study area that either did not have continuous snow or ice cover during the entire summer or was covered by rock debris and could not be distinguished from non-ice covered areas. This area was not classified and is labeled in Figs. 6 and 7 as the “ice-free zone”.

It is useful to compare the geographic boundaries of our ISODATA classes with previously mapped glacier zones. In Fig. 7, we have overlain glacier zone boundaries from previous studies: Long and Drinkwater (1994, henceforth LD) and Benson (1962). For consistency in terminology, we use the authors’ terminology for their glacier zones. Locations of the representative areas selected for each class are shown in Fig. 7. Class 1 roughly corresponds with the lower boundary of LD’s and Benson’s Percolation zone. Benson (whose measurements were made in the 1950s) did not find a dry-snow zone in this region. From the ISODATA classification, we do not see any evidence of the LD’s Dry Snow II zone. However, LD determined their Dry Snow II zone from radar data, which are related to different physical properties such as internal scattering, rather than temporal changes in surface roughness and albedo. It is likely that this radar-derived zone is not expressed as a surface property and therefore was not recognized by Benson, nor by our classification.

The wet-snow zone of LD appears to encompass ISODATA Classes 2 and 3 as well as portions of Class 4. Benson’s wet-snow zone also corresponds with Classes 2 and 3. The lower geographic boundary of LD’s wet-snow zone tracks Class 4 reasonably well, especially in the southernmost part of the class. Class 6 maps the downstream (and most heavily crevassed) parts of the Jakobshavn and other glaciers in the region.

Of perhaps greatest interest is Class 4, which was the only ISODATA class that showed a decrease in NDAI during the summer. While LD indicate decreasing roughness for their entire wet-snow zone, our study shows decreasing roughness only for this relatively narrow class. Based on previous work by Marshall et al. (1995) showing that superimposed ice is smoother than glacier ice in the ablation zone, we hypothesize that Class 4 represents the superimposed-ice zone. Its location below the lower geographic boundary of the wet-snow zone of LD also fits with the conjecture of Knap and Oerlemans (1996) and mapping efforts of Greuell and Knap (2000) that this zone represents superimposed ice.

At first glance, it is surprising that the boundaries between the various glacier zones do not appear to have shifted substantially since the middle of the previous century especially given that melt on the ice sheet has significantly increased over the past decade (Abdalati & Steffen, 2001). Since our work (and that of Benson) indicates that the dry-snow zone is not within the study region, any shift in the boundary between the percolation and dry-snow zones will not be detected. Without the benefit of field validation, we speculate that although the boundaries between glacier zones may be have been fairly consistent for all but the dry-snow zone, there may have been other changes within the wet-snow and percolation zones that are climatologically important such as earlier melt onset and larger melt ponds. The overall melting area may have increased substantially (outside of our study area) but the extents of the wet-snow zone and bare-ice zones may not have changed much over time, only the timing and intensity of melt. This remains as a topic for future investigation.

4.4. Sources of error

A number of error sources need to be considered in the analysis and interpretation of the NIR albedo and NDAI data and the ensuing classification. First, the NIR albedo data are only accurate to within about 7% (Stroeve & Nolin, 2002) and subtle recorded shifts in albedo may not be significant. Secondly, the NDAI is not an absolute measure of surface roughness and, while it has been shown that there is a good correlation between NDAI and $\xi_l$ at the 70-m scale, effects of solar and viewing geometries on the detectability and scales of roughness remain to be quantified. For instance, the orientation and scale of rough features relative to the illumination will likely influence NDAI. Furthermore, the scale of the lidar measured roughness and NDAI roughness estimates are not
always cover the smoother portions of the ice sheet where broad undulations affect the NDAI but are not seen in the airborne lidar measurements because the latter are processed to fit a 70-m planar surface to the elevation data. Ostensibly, if the airborne lidar data had been processed using a longer planar fitted surface, these undulations would have been detected.

The ability of the ISODATA classifier to produce separable classes is determined by the user-specified bounds on within- and between-class variability but it can also be limited by the input data. Year-to-year differences in the spatial extent of the classes depend on the number and temporal distribution of images acquired during the sunlit season and will influence the accuracy of the classification. Compared with 2003, summers in which there are few images or where they are not evenly distributed showed more irregular and somewhat diffuse boundaries between classes (possibly the effects of clouds). Ideally, images acquired every 1–2 weeks would provide optimal sampling of changes in ice sheet surface properties. However, cloud cover and the frequency of coverage by the MISR instrument are limiting factors. Most importantly, without in situ data our understanding of the meaning of the classification results prevents us from drawing firm conclusions. However, it is clear that there are distinct regions of the ice sheet that behave in a spatially coherent fashion with regard to temporal patterns of NIR albedo and NDAI.

5. Conclusions

ISODATA classes derived from MISR NIR albedo and NDAI images show distinct spatial patterns that follow the patterns of previously mapped glacier zones. The exception is in the lack of a recognized dry-snow zone as had been previously mapped by Long and Drinkwater. A potentially important finding is that of a narrow, low elevation ISODATA class in which both NIR albedo and NDAI decrease over the course of the melt season. This is in distinct contrast to other classes where roughness increases with melt. We hypothesize that this class corresponds to the superimposed-ice zone because a) superimposed ice is smoother than bare glacier ice and firm and, b) it is in the proper position in relation to other glacier zones on the ice sheet. Conclusive evidence will require in situ measurements. However, these results point to the value of remote sensing to identify areas of unique ice sheet surface properties and to provide guidance for locating future field measurements.

MISR can be used to concurrently retrieve both albedo and NDAI at 275-m spatial resolution, providing a high degree of spatial detail. In addition to their potential for ice sheet surface characterization, albedo and surface roughness are also needed to improve surface energy balance models. Multi-angle observations are also needed to improve our understanding of patterns of bi-directional reflectance. Angular distribution models (ADMs) are used by instruments such as the Clouds and the Earth’s Radiant Energy System (CERES), which monitors the Earth’s radiation budget. To accurately measure changes in reflected energy, sub-grid variability in albedo, surface roughness, and surface reflectance anisotropy must be known. These measurements from MISR are an important first step in improving CERES ADMs over the ice sheet thereby leading to a more accurate Arctic energy budget. However, there is still the need for in situ validation, especially with regard to understanding the relationships between glacier zones and the albedo/roughness classes identified here. Furthermore, there is a continuing need to monitor albedo and roughness in order to identify possible trends and changes in surface energy balance.
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